

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	8:11CR349
)	
vs.)	
)	
ELIZABETH SANTIAGO-SANTIAGO,)	ORDER
)	
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the court on the defendant's Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial [23]. The parties require additional time to complete pretrial negotiations. For good cause shown,

IT IS ORDERED that the unopposed motion to continue trial [23] is granted, as follows:

1. The jury trial now set for August 14 is continued to **October 9, 2012**.
2. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **today's date and October 9, 2012**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

DATED August 10, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

**s/ F.A. Gossett, III
United States Magistrate Judge**